

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Kenneth A. Adelman,

Complainant,

vs.

Pacific Gas and Electric Company (U 39 E),

Defendant.

Case 01-08-013
(Filed August 13, 2001)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING DIRECTING
RESPONSE TO A PROPOSED DISMISSAL OF THIS CASE**

In an interim decision dated October 10, 2001, the Commission approved a settlement agreement between complainant Kenneth A. Adelman and defendant Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) related to PG&E's provision of service to complainant's home in conjunction with a solar power system that complainant had installed. The parties asked that the case remain open pending resolution of other issues. More than seven months have passed since the Commission's decision, and the parties have requested no further action on the complaint. I propose now to dismiss this case. If either party objects to dismissal, that party is directed to file its objection within 20 days of the date of this ruling, explaining what further action that party believes is necessary to resolve this matter.

IT IS RULED that if either party objects to dismissal of this case, that party is directed to file its objection within 20 days of the date of this ruling.

Dated May 14, 2002, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ GLEN WALKER
Glen Walker
Administrative Law Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I have by mail this day served a true copy of the original attached Administrative Law Judge's Ruling Directing Response to a Proposed Dismissal of This Case on all parties of record in this proceeding or their attorneys of record.

Dated May 14, 2002, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ KE HUANG

Ke Huang

N O T I C E

Parties should notify the Process Office, Public Utilities Commission, 505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2000, San Francisco, CA 94102, of any change of address to ensure that they continue to receive documents. You must indicate the proceeding number on the service list on which your name appears.